



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,519	02/26/2002	Peter Armstrong		3978
7590 04/05/2006			EXAMINER	
PETER ARMSTRONG			CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A	
ONE WORLD INTERNATIONAL 17TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
89 ALBERT EMBANKMENT			2623	
LONDON, SEI 7RT UNITED KINGDOM			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Common	10/083,519	ARMSTRONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u> </u>	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

DETAILED ACTION

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim does not fall within one of the four enumerated categories of method, apparatus, process, or composition of

Application/Control Number: 10/083,519

Art Unit: 2623

matter. Applicant must specify the statutory class. Claim 1 appears to be of the method class. Applicant may look at references cited to see how to construct claims.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis (6774926) in view of Aoki (6788951) and Hansen (US 2002/0038456)

As for claim 1, Ellis discloses:

A collaborative, navigable, database (50 – Fig. 1) driven format for broadcasting video on the internet (40 – Fig. 1; Users are capable of uploading video to the server which uses the internet to broadcast the video to users - col. 4, lines 5-15),

providing tools (62, 66, 68, 70 – Fig. 3) for people to upload video footage (col. 5, lines 15-45, col. 4, lines 6-11,), and text (description; 212 – Fig. 14; col. 12, lines 3-4, lines 13-16),

engage in an interactive viewing experience (The programming is available on demand – col. 10, lines 9-30),

explore the database of video clips (The user explores the video clips available by selecting to view the list (150 – Fig. 10; col. 10, lines 9-20))

Application/Control Number: 10/083,519 Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

However, Ellis fails to disclose that people upload images and that compound stories are created from new and existing video clips.

In an analogous art, Aoki discloses wherein a user uploads images for the advantage of preserving memory space locally or such that other users could also access the images – col. 11, lines 35-42.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Ellis' invention to include a user uploads images, as taught by Aoki, for the advantage of not using up local memory or such that other users could also access the images.

However, Ellis and Aoki fail to disclose compound stories are created from new and existing video clips.

In an analogous art, Hansen discloses that video clips are aggregated to create videos (stories) over the internet for the advantage of generating a single video stream for an always-active video channel(s) for transmission and viewing – [0026-0027].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Ellis and Aoki's invention to include video clips are aggregated to create videos over the internet, as taught by Hansen, for the advantage of generating a single video stream for an always-active video channel(s) for transmission and viewing.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/083,519 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600